



Upcoming Rate Changes and Discretionary Service Charges

REP Workshop and Energy Efficiency Outreach
October 2008

Oncor Electric Delivery

- **Deployment Plan and Surcharge Request filed May 28, 2008**
- **Included a complete Oncor system deployment by end of 2012**
 - **Approximately 3.4 million Landis + Gyr advanced meters**
 - **RF and PLC communication technologies**
- **Included a surcharge that was consistent with the Texas PUC rule**
 - **A flat monthly charge by rate class**
 - **Seven year depreciation period for meters**
 - **Eleven year surcharge period**
 - **Net O&M savings**
- **\$686 million estimated capital spend**
- **\$1.035 billion total revenue requirement**
- **Settlement reached on August 11, 2008**
- **Commission approved on August 29, 2008 (93 days)**

- **SD20 – Evaluation of Retail Electric Provider Requests for Non-Standard Advanced Meters, Additional Metering Technology, or Advanced Features not Specifically Offered by Company**
 - **Substantive Rule §25.130(g)(2)(C)**
 - **Charge is As Calculated**
- **SD21 – Cost Differential for Non-Standard Meters or Features Pursuant to Requests Received Pursuant to SD20**
 - **Substantive Rule §25.130(g)(2)(A) and (B)**
 - **Charge is As Calculated**
- **Pre-pay Disconnect for Non-Pay and Reconnect after Disconnect for Non-Pay**
 - **Project No. 36233 – TDU Advanced Metering Prepaid Project**
 - **Oncor has agreed that there will be No Charge for this service**
- **Time-of-Use Programming Fee**
 - **Only for existing TOU Profiles offered in Oncor’s Service Territory**
 - **Oncor has agreed that there will be No Charge for this service**

Approved AMS Surcharge (AMCRF)



Rate Class	Monthly Surcharge
Residential Service	\$2.21
Secondary <= 10 kW Service	\$2.42
Secondary > 10 kW Service	\$4.03
Primary <= 10 kW Service	\$3.99
Primary > 10kW Service	\$5.21
Lighting Service (Metered only)	\$3.29

Surcharge requested to begin on 1st bill cycle of January 2009 (December 29, 2008) and continue for 11 years

- **Docket No. 35634 filed on June 27, 2008**
- **EECRF designed to recover the difference between amount of Energy Efficiency Costs included in base rates and the Projected 2009 Energy Efficiency Costs**
 - **Projected 2009 Energy Efficiency Costs - \$53,578,615**
 - **Energy Efficiency Costs in Base Rates - \$42,663,548**
 - **Difference recovered through EECRF - \$10,915,067**
- **Docket No. 35717 (Rate Case)**
- **EECRF designed to recover all Energy Efficiency Cost**
- **By May 1 of each year, Oncor will file an EECRF for the next calendar year that will include a true-up of the previous calendar year**
 - **For example: By May 1 of 2009, Oncor will file for its 2010 EECRF which will include a true-up of 2008 Energy Efficiency Costs**

Proposed Energy Efficiency Surcharge (EECRF)



Rate Class	Docket No. 35634 Monthly Surcharge *	Docket No. 35717 Monthly Surcharge
Residential Service	\$0.22	\$0.92
Secondary <= 10 kW Service	- \$0.79	\$0.22
Secondary > 10 kW Service	\$2.48	\$8.68
Primary <= 10 kW Service	- \$2.17	\$0.00
Primary > 10kW Service	\$26.17	\$67.09/\$770.39
Transmission Service	-\$227.52	\$443.77
Lighting Service	- \$0.17	\$0.00

* Surcharge requested to begin on 1st bill cycle of January 2009 (December 29, 2008)

Proposed Street Light Tariff Changes



- **Tariff Control No. 36228 filed on October 3, 2008**
- **Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA 2007)**
 - **Requires metal halide lights manufactured after 1/1/09 to have ballasts with a minimum efficiency rating of 88%, 90%, 92% or 94% depending on the ballast type**
 - **Manufacturers are unable to economically manufacture ballasts for 175 W fixtures**
- **Proposed Changes – Effective January 1, 2009**
 - **Close 175 W Metal Halide to new installations**
 - **Offer 150 W Metal Halide Option for new installations and replacements for existing 175 W when ballast of fixture fails**
 - **150 W Metal Halide – same price as 175 W**

Existing Metal Halide Lighting:			Proposed Metal Halide Lighting:		
Wattage	Lumens	kWh	Wattage	Lumens	kWh
175	14,000	65	150	14,000	60

- **\$72 Million Credit**
 - **Oncor will file its affidavit by Friday verifying refund amounts**
 - **The full \$72 Million was not refunded – difference used for bill payment assistance**
 - **Oncor will identify the amount to be given to each REP that serves Residential customers for bill payment assistance**
- **Merger Performance Measures**
 - **SAIDI and SAIFI reliability and customer service related**
 - **Oncor will request REPs to sign an agreement to pass those credits (if any) directly to the retail customer (similar to \$72 Million Credit agreement)**
- **Distributed Renewal Generation**
 - **Specific information will be sent to REPs with DRG customers in November**

Questions